

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United State Patent and Trademark Office Adhesis COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS FO Big 1450 Port 1500 (1990)

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
69/300,362	04/27/1999	GERARDO HIDALGO LLINAS	B-3645.61707	4291
7990 02/05/2004			EXAMINER	
JOHN PALMER			PASTERCZYK, JAMES W	
C/O LADAS &	PARRY E BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2100			1755	TATES INCOMES
LOS ANGELES	, CA 90036		1133	

DATE MAILED: 02/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/300,302	LLINAS ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		J. Pasterczyk	1755
	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	in appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
THE - Extended after - If the - If NO - Fash - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MALINO BATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION FOR	ION. JPR 1 (198(a)) In no event, however, may a on. Left 1 (198(a)) In no event, however, may a on. Left 1 (198(a)) In no event, however, may a point, however, may a point of the period will apply and will expire \$10 (b) became a control of the period	reply be finely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the making date of this communication RAMINONEY, DS U.S.C. 4, 1230.
itus			
1)区	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	111 24/03	
	This action is FINAL. 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3)[]	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	llowance except for formal ma nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
posit	ion of Claims		
4) ⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 12 is/are	withdrawn from consideration	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8,10,11 and 13-24 is/are reject	ted.	
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
plicat	ion Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Ex-		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11)[7] The earth or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. 66 119 and 120

Pe

Sta

Di

Αn

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:

Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.

37 CFR 1.78.
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

" 🖂	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
9) 🗀	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s)	(PTO-1449) F	raper ric(s)

Attechment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTC-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948)

αΠ	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
5 🗆	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
6 🗆	Other:	

- This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 11/24/03 and refers to the Office action mailed 5/20/03.
- 2. Claims 5, 11, 15-17 and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claims 15-17, there are still numerous instances where "cyclo" is misspelled as well as "methylene" and "cyclopentadienyl" as noted in previous Office actions. In claims 5 and 22, insert—an—before "alumoxane" and —a—before "trialkylaluminum" since these are genuses, not species. Further in claim 22, "trialkylaluminum" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.
- Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3, R^{III} is defined as a monovalent entity; this contradicts claim 1 in which it is required to be divalent.

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13-16, 18, 19 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vega as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 5 of the previous Office action.
- Claims 1-8, 10, 11 and 13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hidalgo Llinas as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 6 of the revevious Office action.

- 7. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Canich as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 7 of the previous Office action
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 11/24/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CPR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CPR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.
- THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Pasterczyk whose telephone number is 571-272-1375. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached at 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is none.

Mark L. Beli Supervisory Patent Examine-Technology Center 1700

1/27/04